1 2 3 4 5 6	CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No. 492089; pro hac vice) crichman@gibsondunn.com VICTORIA C. GRANDA (D.C. Bar No. 1673034; pro hac vice) vgranda@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306 Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539	CAELI A. HIGNEY (SBN 268644) chigney@gibsondunn.com ELI M. LAZARUS (SBN 284082) elazarus@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306			
7	DANIEL G. SWANSON (SBN 116556)				
8	dswanson@gibsondunn.com DANA LYNN CRAIG (SBN 251865)				
9	dcraig@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP				
10	333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520				
11					
12	Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.				
13					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16	OAKLAND DIVISION				
17					
18	SOCIÉTÉ DU FIGARO, SAS, a French simplified joint-stock company; L'ÉQUIPE 24/24 SAS, a French	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF			
19	simplified joint-stock company, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly	TIME FOR DEFENDANT APPLE INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE			
20	situated; and LE GESTE, a French association, on behalf of itself, its	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE			
21	members, and all others similarly situated,	6-1(A) AND FOR MOTION TO DISMISS BRIEFING PURSUANT TO CIVIL			
22	Plaintiffs,	LOCAL RULE 6-2(A)			
23	V.				
24	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers			
25	Defendant.				
26					
27					
28					

1	Plaintiffs Société du Figaro, SAS, L'Équipe 24/24 SAS, and le GESTE (collectively,
2	"Plaintiffs") and Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple"), by and through their respective attorneys of
3	record herein and without waiving any rights, claims, or defenses they may have in this action,
4	enter into this Stipulation pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(a) and 6-2(a), with reference to the
5	following circumstances:
6	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Class Action Complaint ("Complaint") on August 1,
7	2022;
8	WHEREAS, Apple was served with the Complaint on August 8, 2022;
9	WHEREAS, absent an extension, Apple's deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the
10	complaint was August 29, 2022;
11	WHEREAS, absent an extension, Plaintiffs' opposition to any motion to dismiss would be
12	due 14 days after the motion is filed, and Apple's reply in support of any motion to dismiss would
13	be due 7 days after the opposition filing deadline, see N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 7-3(a) & (c);
14	WHEREAS, on September 2, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion, pursuant to N.D.
15	Cal. Civil L.R. 3-12(a), to relate this action to <i>In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation</i> , N.D. Cal.
16	No. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR, which Apple did not oppose;
17	WHEREAS, on September 6, 2022, this action was reassigned to District Judge Yvonne
18	Gonzalez Rogers;
19	WHEREAS, the Parties, out of an abundance of caution, refile this Stipulation, previously
20	filed on August 23, 2022 with District Judge Haywood Gilliam, in light of the reassignment;
21	WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred and Plaintiffs have agreed to extend
22	Apple's August 29, 2022 deadline until October 28, 2022 in light of competing deadlines;
23	WHEREAS, the Parties also agreed to extend Plaintiffs' deadline to oppose any motion to
24	dismiss filed by Apple to December 16, 2022 and the deadline for Apple to file a reply in support
25	of any motion to dismiss to January 10, 2023 in light of competing deadlines;
26	WHEREAS, such an extension will not alter any event or deadline already fixed by Court
27	order;
28	

1	THEREFORE, the Parties, through their counsel, hereby stipulate and request that Court			
2	grant an order setting the following deadlines:			
3	1.	Apple will answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint on or before October 28,		
4	2022.			
5	2.	Plaintiffs will file any opposi	tion to a motion to dismiss the Complaint on or before	
6	December 16, 2022.			
7	3.	Apple will file any reply in support of a motion to dismiss the Complaint on or		
8	before January 10, 2023.			
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
10				
11	Dated: September 9, 2022		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Daniel G. Swanson	
12			Cynthia E. Richman Caeli A. Higney	
13			Dana Lynn Craig Eli M. Lazarus	
14	Victoria C. Granda By: /s/ Caeli A. Higney			
15				
16			Caeli A. Higney 555 Mission Street	
17			San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.393.8200	
18			Facsimile: 415.393.8306	
19			Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.	
20	Data de Canton	ah an 0, 2022	HACENC DEDMAN CODOL CHADIDO LLD	
21	Dated: September 9, 2022		HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP Steve W. Berman	
22			Robert F. Lopez Ben Harrington	
23			Abigail D. Pershing	
24			By: <u>/s/ Steve W. Berman</u>	
25			Steve W. Berman 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000	
26			Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: 206.623.0594	
27			Attorneys for Plaintiffs Société du Figaro, SAS, L'Équipe 24/24 SAS, and le GESTE	
28			2	

ECF SIGNATURE ATTESTATION In accordance with Local Rule 5-1, the filer of this document hereby attests that the concurrence of the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories hereto. Dated: September 9, 2022 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP By: /s/ Caeli A. Higney Caeli A. Higney Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.